


8-2013

## An Analysis of Online Cheating: An Empirical Examination of Online Proctors

Randall Valentine

Dawn Valentine

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dc.swosu.edu/aij>

 Part of the [Health and Medical Administration Commons](#), [Higher Education Administration Commons](#), and the [Public Administration Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Valentine, Randall and Valentine, Dawn (2013) "An Analysis of Online Cheating: An Empirical Examination of Online Proctors," *Administrative Issues Journal*: Vol. 3: Iss. 3, Article 6.

Available at: <https://dc.swosu.edu/aij/vol3/iss3/6>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at SWOSU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Administrative Issues Journal by an authorized editor of SWOSU Digital Commons. An ADA compliant document is available upon request. For more information, please contact [phillip.fitzsimmons@swosu.edu](mailto:phillip.fitzsimmons@swosu.edu).

# An Analysis of Online Cheating: An Empirical Examination of Online Proctors

Dr. Randall Valentine

Dr. Dawn Valentine

Georgia Southwestern State University

*A study is conducted using data from online unproctored exams and exams using Proctor U, an online service that proctors via a computer webcam. Students in an undergraduate Business Finance class are given the same exam. One class section uses no proctor and the other section uses Proctor U. We find that students taking the unproctored exam score 14% higher on the exam. Further, using a T Test we find a significant difference between the 2 sections.*