

Administrative Issues Journal

Volume 5 Issue 3 *CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS: OCTOBER 22th-23th, 2015*

Article 6

10-2015

AN EXPLORATION OF THE VALIDITY OF INFERENCES MADE FROM THE INTERPERSONAL AND SOCIAL EMPATHY INDEX (ISEI)

Kateeka Harris

Celia M. Wilson

Follow this and additional works at: https://dc.swosu.edu/aij

Part of the Health and Medical Administration Commons, Higher Education Administration Commons, and the Public Administration Commons

Recommended Citation

Harris, Kateeka and Wilson, Celia M. (2015) "AN EXPLORATION OF THE VALIDITY OF INFERENCES MADE FROM THE INTERPERSONAL AND SOCIAL EMPATHY INDEX (ISEI)," *Administrative Issues Journal*: Vol. 5: Iss. 3, Article 6.

Available at: https://dc.swosu.edu/aij/vol5/iss3/6

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at SWOSU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Administrative Issues Journal by an authorized editor of SWOSU Digital Commons. An ADA compliant document is available upon request. For more information, please contact phillip.fitzsimmons@swosu.edu.



Kateeka Harris
Tarrant County College District
Kateeka.harris@tccd.edu

Celía M. Wilson
Texas Wesleyan University
cewilson@txwes.edu

Abstract

Social empathy is the ability to understand other people by perceiving or experiencing their life situations, thus providing an opportunity to gain insight into social inequalities. Institutions of higher education have unique opportunities to engage students in socially just educational conversations that challenge negative social biases of others who are not like them. The current study investigated the validity of the inferences made from the Interpersonal and Social Empathy Index (ISEI), a common tool used to explore levels of empathy among college students. A comparison was then made between the original constructs described by the instrument developers (Segal et. al., 2013) and the constructs present in the data collected for this study. Factor analysis results indicated a structure different from that proposed by the instrument developers. While the number of latent factors in the current study is the same as those proposed by the original instrument developers (4), items composing the factors were different. Consequently, subscale scores calculated using developer suggested items may not be the best representation of latent constructs related to empathy.

Keywords: empathy, interpersonal empathy, social empathy social justice, factor analysis

Need for and Purpose of the Study

Individual empathy is not sufficient to motivate communities towards social justice. A more effective way to change long standing structural inequities requires providing people with opportunities to gain deep contextual knowledge and have experiences that create empathetic insights into the lives of people who have been oppressed. As part of the larger effort to change long standing structural inequalities and create empathetic insights, an instrument measuring interpersonal and social empathy levels is necessary. Such an instrument would aid in the measurement of growth, or lack thereof, of participants in levels of interpersonal and social empathy, both necessary components for empathetic insights. Once such instrument, the Interpersonal and Social Empathy Index (ISEI) purports to measure participants' interpersonal and social empathy levels (Segal, Cimino, Gerdes, Harmon & Wagaman, 2013). However, the inferences made from ISEI scores have never been assessed for construct validity, using a sample of community college students. The purpose of the current study was to investigate whether the ISEI is an appropriate instrument for

use in a larger program designed to promote increased levels of social empathy needed to encourage greater social responsibility of students at the community college. Social justice education infused into core curriculum can possibly impact students' perceptions of empathy at a two-year college. However, an appropriate instrument is necessary to help determine whether social justice education indeed promoted changes in student interpersonal and social empathy levels. Our study investigated whether the constructs presented by Segal et. al. (2013) as present in the ISEI, are also valid for use for our sample (n=98) of community college students. See Table 1 for details regarding the sample.

Table 1 Demographic Information	tion for Participants (n=98)	
Gender	Ethnicity (Self-Reported)	
Male (n=25)	Caucasian (n=39)	
Female (n=73)	Hispanic (n=38)	
	Black (n=21)	

Instrumentation

The Interpersonal and Social Empathy Index (ISEI) is an instrument purporting to measure trait empathy, with elements of interpersonal and social empathy (Segal et.al., 2013). For the 15 items of the ISEI, items 1-10 reflect interpersonal empathy, and are divided between three components – Items 2, 3, 5, 9 Cognitive Empathy (COG), Items 1, 6, 8 Affective Response (AR), and Items 4, 7, 10 Self-Other Awareness (SOA): Items 11-15 assesses Macro Perspective-Taking (MPT), which reflect social empathy. The Likert Scale used ranges from 1 (never) to 6 (always) with choices 2-5 in between.

Construct Validity

One method often used to help identify and measure constructs is exploratory factor analysis (EFA) (Henson & Roberts, 2006). For the current study, EFA was used in an effort to investigate which constructs composed the data collected using the ISEI. A comparison was then made between the original constructs described by the instrument developers (Segal et. al., 2013) and the constructs present in the current data.

Analysis and Results

Results of the exploratory factor analysis of the fifteen items of the ISEI revealed differences in construct composition, in comparison to the previously proposed factor structure (Segal et.al., 2013). Ultimately, a four factor solution was selected as the best fit for the current data. However, while the selected four factor solution was also present in the instrument development process (Segal et.al, 2013), individual items composing the factors were different. See Tables 2 and 3 for a comparison of the factor/item structure presented by Segal et.al. (2013) and the factor/item structure present in the current data.

Original Structure of the ISEI/	Factor Composition		
Factors Presented by Segal e	t. al. (2013)	1200	
MPT	COG	SOA	AR
Q11*, Q12*, Q13, Q14, Q15	Q2, Q3, Q5*, Q9*	Q4, Q7*, Q10*	Q1, Q6*, Q8



39

Table 3						
Structure Matrix for the Current Study						
	Factor					
	1	2	3	4		
Q1	258	.755	.183	411		
Q6	.348	.369	669	.092		
Q8	.303	.775	347	.056		
Q11	.390	.238	503	662		
Q12	.226	.584	399	439		
Q13	.596	.282	709	.153		
Q14	.222	.035	806	013		
Q15	.481	.103	666	184		
Q2	.815	050	343	.237		
Q3	.709	.371	264	052		
Q5	.367	.728	093	142		
Q9	.720	018	484	.231		
Q4	.395	068	327	.615		
Q7	.407	181	599	088		
Q10	.771	.252	415	275		

Discussion and Conclusion

As community college students develop the ability to be aware of and be sensitive to the perspectives of those different from themselves, the culture of the college may be more inclusive. The consequences of a more inclusive college environment, particularly for historically marginalized students, can be monumental, including an increase in retention and graduation rates (Roberson & Mason, 2007).

Results of the exploratory factor analysis indicated that while four factors seem to be present in the current data, as the original developers indicated, the item composition of the factors was different for this sample of community college students. Consequently, for this sample, the originally computed construct or factor scores would not best represent the factors in question; the score inferences would not be valid.

References

- Barr, J., & Schuetz, P. (2008). Overview of foundational issues. New Directions For Community Colleges, 2008 (144), 7-16.
- Batson, C. D., Polycarpou, M. P., Harmon-Jones, E., Imhoff, H. J., Mitchener, E. C., Bednar, L. L., & ... Highberger, L. (1997). Empathy and Attitudes: Can Feeling for a Member of a Stigmatized Group Improve Feelings Toward the Group?. Journal Of Personality & Social Psychology, 72(1), 105-118.
- Cattell, R. B. (1966). The scree test for the number of factors. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 1, 245-276. doi: 10.1207/s15327906mbr0102_10
- Courtright, K. E., Mackey, D. A., & Packard, S. H. (2005). Empathy among college students and criminal justice majors: Identifying predispositional traits and the role of education*. Journal of Criminal Justice Education, 16(1), 125-144,205-207. Retrieved from http://search.proquest.com/docview/223376087?accountid=7113
- Eisenberg, N., & Miller, P. A. (1987). The relation of empathy to prosocial and related behaviors. Psychological Bulletin, 101(1), 91-119. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.101.1.91
- Fichten, C. S., Compton, V., & Amsel, R. (1985). Imagined empathy and attributions concerning activity preferences of physically disabled college students.

 Rehabilitation Psychology, 30(4), 235-239. doi:10.1037/h0091033
- Field, A. (2011). Discovering statistics using SPSS (3rd ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
- Fjortoft, N., PhD, Van Winkle, L. J., PhD, & Hojat, M., PhD. (2011). Measuring empathy in pharmacy students. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 75(6), 1-109. Retrieved from http://search.proquest.com/docview/892736259?accountid=7113



- Gerdes, K. E., Lietz, C. A., & Segal, E. A. (2011). Measuring empathy in the 21st century: Development of an empathy index rooted in social cognitive neuroscience and social justice. Social Work Research, 35(2), 83. Retrieved from http://search.ebscohost.com/login. aspx?direct=true&db=f5h&AN=62583976&scope=site
- Giroux, H. A., & Giroux, S. S. (2004). Take back higher education: Race, youth, and the crisis of democracy in the post-civil rights era. New York, N.Y.: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Giroux, H. A. (2002). Neoliberalism, corporate culture, and the promise of higher education: The university as a democratic public sphere. Harvard Educational Review, 72(4), 425-463. Retrieved from http://search.proquest.com/docview/212279730?accountid=7113
- Harvey, D. (2005). The neoliberal state. A brief history of neoliberalism (pp. 64). New York, NY: Oxford.
- Henson, R. K., & Roberts, K. J. (2006). Use of exploratory factor analysis in published research. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 66. 393-416. doi:10.1177/0013164405282485
- Horn, J.L. (1965). A rationale and test for the number of factors in factor analysis. Psychometrika, 30, 179-185. doi: 10.1007/bf02289447
- Johnson, R.A. & Wichern, D.W. (2007). Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Konrath, S. H., O'Brien, E. H., & Hsing, C. (2011). Changes in dispositional empathy in american college students over time: A meta-analysis. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 15(2), 180-198. doi:10.1177/1088868310377395

- Lee, S. A. (2009). Does empathy mediate the relationship between neuroticism and depressive symptomatology among college students? Personality and Individual Differences, 47(5), 429-433. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. paid.2009.04.020
- McKenna, L., Boyle, M., Brown, T., Williams, B., Molloy, A., Lewis, B., & Molloy, L. (2012). Levels of empathy in undergraduate nursing students.

 International Journal of Nursing Practice, 18(3), 246-251. doi:10.1111/j.1440-172X.2012.02035.x
- Morrell, M. E. (2010). Empathy and democracy: Feeling, thinking, and deliberation. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Preseton, S. D., & deWall, F. B. M. (2002). Empathy: Its ultimate and proximate bases. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 25, 1-20. doi:doi:10.1017/S0140525X02000018
- Rasoal, C., Danielsson, H., & Jungert, T. (2012). Empathy among students in engineering programmes. European Journal of Engineering Education, 37(5), 427-435. doi:10.1080/03043797.2012.708720
- Ruscio, J., & Roche, B. (2012). Determining the number of factors to retain in an exploratory factor analysis using comparison data of known factor structure. Psychological Assessment, 24. 282-292. doi: 10.1037/a0025697
- Schuetz P, Barr J. Transmuting resistance to change. New Directions For Community Colleges [serial online]. Winter2008 2008;2008 (144):105-114. Available from: Academic Search Complete, Ipswich, MA. Accessed April 25, 2015.
- Segal, E. A. (2011). Social empathy: A model built on empathy, contextual understanding, and social responsibility that promotes social justice Journal of Social Service Research, 37(3), 266.



42

- Segal, E. A., Gerdes, K. E., Mullins, J., Wagaman, M. A., & Androff, D. (2011). Social empathy attitudes: Do latino students have more?

 Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment, 21(4), 438-454.

 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10911359.2011.566445
- Segal, E. A., Wagaman, M. A., & Gerdes, K. E. (2012). Developing the Empathy Assessment Index: An exploratory factor analysis. Advances in Social Work, 13(3), 541-560. Retrieved from http://search.proquest.com/docview/1417520943?accountid=7113
- Segal, E. A. (2011). Social Empathy: A Model Built on Empathy, Contextual Understanding, and Social Responsibility That Promotes Social Justice.

 Journal Of Social Service Research, 37(3), 266-277. doi:10.1080/01488376.20

 11.564040
- Stevens, J. P. (2009). Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences (5th ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Thompson, B., & Daniel, L.G. (1996). Factor analytic evidence for the construct validity of scores: A historical overview and some guidelines. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 56, 197-208.

45



4