Presenting Information About White Privilege and Systemic Racism: Message vs. Messenger

Aileen Aiello  
*Southwestern Oklahoma State University*

Maci Glassock  
*Southwestern Oklahoma State University*, macimashaylaglassock@gmail.com

Catherine Schubert  
*Southwestern Oklahoma State University*

Jared Edwards  
*Southwestern Oklahoma State University*, jared.edwards@swosu.edu

Abstract

In our study, we found evidence for some effect on how students perceive information about systemic racism and White privilege based on the perceived identity of the presenter.
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Introduction
- American audiences are continually presented with information regarding racially and gender driven discrimination.
- With inspiration drawn from the Battle, Hill, and Zorwick (SWPA2015) research, and contemporary cross-racial violence capturing the nation's attention, we were driven to examine the ideas young people had about White privilege and systematic racism as defined by Sue and Sue (2012).
- We wanted to know if our collective perception regarding this information is changed when the presenter's race, gender, or nationality changes.

Methods
- Participants
  - 146 General Psychology students
  - 69% female
  - 66.4% European American
  - Mean Age of 19; Modal Age of 18
- Materials
  - Materials for this study consisted of 8 short videos of students presenting information on White privilege and systemic racism, a demographic questionnaire, and a survey about the information.
- Procedures
  - Four students- two students of European decent (one male and one female) and two students of non-European decent (again one male and one female) were filmed presenting scripted information.
  - Each student filmed the script twice, once identifying themselves as American and once identifying themselves as an international student.
  - First, the participants were given a demographics questionnaire, then shown a video condition, and finally given a survey concerning how they felt about the film's content and how it affected the world around them.

Results
- Outcomes were explored based on presenters' racial identity, gender, and nationality.
- There were no main effects or interactions for the presenters' concerns/issues; however, there were significant results for the other three outcome variables.
  - For the question of whether the presentation was informative, there was a significant main effect of the presenters' gender (F(1, 7)=8.88, p=.003).
  - For the question of whether the presenter changed the participant's view of race in society, there was a significant interaction between the presenter's race and gender (F(1,7)=5.50, p=.02).
  - For the question of whether the presenter did a good job explaining the concept, there was a main effect of the presenter's race (F(1,7)=5.21, p=.02).

Discussion
- The results suggest that there is a significant relationship between the gender of the person providing information and how informative the presentation is perceived to be.
- The perceived race of the presenter predicted how well the participants felt the information was explained.
- Finally, the combination of the presenter's gender and race predicted the experienced impact on view of race in society.
- These results suggest that there is an underlying racial and gender-based privilege that translates to students' willingness to accept information about privilege.