



Southwestern Oklahoma State University
SWOSU Digital Commons

Oklahoma Research Day Abstracts

2019 Oklahoma Research Day

Mar 8th, 9:59 AM

06. Criminal Justice

Southwestern Oklahoma State University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dc.swosu.edu/ordabstracts>

Southwestern Oklahoma State University, "06. Criminal Justice" (2019). *Oklahoma Research Day Abstracts*. 6.

<https://dc.swosu.edu/ordabstracts/2019oklahomaresearchday/mathematicsandscience/6>

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Oklahoma Research Day at SWOSU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Oklahoma Research Day Abstracts by an authorized administrator of SWOSU Digital Commons. An ADA compliant document is available upon request. For more information, please contact phillip.fitzsimmons@swosu.edu.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.01

VelmaCarriaga

Southwestern Oklahoma State University

Oklahoma Indian Country

In August 1999, Patrick Murphy, a Muscogee (Creek) Nation tribal member murdered George Jacobs, also a member of the Muscogee (Creek) Nation tribe. Murphy was subsequently tried and convicted of murder in Oklahoma state court and sentenced to death.

United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit reversed the verdict, holding that since the crime occurred on the Creek Reservation, the State of Oklahoma lacked jurisdiction. The Court further ruled that Congress had in fact never disestablished the Creek Reservation.

The presentation "Oklahoma Indian Country"; will examine *Carpenter v. Murphy* and will exhibit research on historical precedent regarding jurisdiction over tribal members and tribal lands. The presentation will also examine issues such as: did Congress ever disestablish and/or diminish the Creek Reservation? If the Creek Reservation is found to be intact under the 1866 treaty with the United States, what implications will such a verdict hold for the 1.8 million residents and the eastern half of the State of Oklahoma?

On November 27, 2018, oral arguments were heard by the United States Supreme Court; the case is now pending.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.02

VelmaCarriaga

Southwestern Oklahoma State University

Oklahoma Indian Country

In August 1999, Patrick Murphy, a Muscogee (Creek) Nation tribal member murdered George Jacobs, also a member of the Muscogee (Creek) Nation tribe. Murphy was subsequently tried and convicted of murder in Oklahoma state court and sentenced to death.

United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit reversed the verdict, holding that since the crime occurred on the Creek Reservation, the State of Oklahoma lacked jurisdiction. The Court further ruled that Congress had in fact never disestablished the Creek Reservation.

The presentation "Oklahoma Indian Country"; will examine *Carpenter v. Murphy* and will exhibit research on historical precedent regarding jurisdiction over tribal members and tribal lands. The presentation will also examine issues such as: did Congress ever disestablish and/or diminish the Creek Reservation? If the Creek Reservation is found to be intact under the 1866 treaty with the United States, what implications will such a verdict hold for the 1.8 million residents and the eastern half of the State of Oklahoma?

On November 27, 2018, oral arguments were heard by the United States Supreme Court; the case is now pending.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.03

VelmaCarriaga

Southwestern Oklahoma State University

Oklahoma Indian Country

In August 1999, Patrick Murphy, a Muscogee (Creek) Nation tribal member murdered George Jacobs, also a member of the Muscogee (Creek) Nation tribe. Murphy was subsequently tried and convicted of murder in Oklahoma state court and sentenced to death.

United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit reversed the verdict, holding that since the crime occurred on the Creek Reservation, the State of Oklahoma lacked jurisdiction. The Court further ruled that Congress had in fact never disestablished the Creek Reservation.

The presentation “Oklahoma Indian Country” will examine *Carpenter v. Murphy* and will exhibit research on historical precedent regarding jurisdiction over tribal members and tribal lands. The presentation will also examine issues such as: did Congress ever disestablish and/or diminish the Creek Reservation? If the Creek Reservation is found to be intact under the 1866 treaty with the United States, what implications will such a verdict hold for the 1.8 million residents and the eastern half of the State of Oklahoma?

On November 27, 2018, oral arguments were heard by the United States Supreme Court; the case is now pending.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.04

JesusManzano

Southwestern Oklahoma State University

War on Drugs: Friend or Foe?

This poster goes over ramifications that the War on Drugs has had in the United States, particularly, its inflicted racial bias, the militarization of police, and the violation of civil rights.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.05

RikkiTasso-Thompson

Cameron University

Bridging The Gap of law Enforcement and Our Public

"What causes the strain?"

Changing View of Law Enforcement through the General Public Eyes

After attending this presentation attendees will learn about the tensions that have arisen in the United States concerning the behavior of police officers and on-site Forensic teams that have raised many questions; whether the media portrays the incident correctly , whether the incident was justified , and, whether race relations was a factor .

In order to get an idea of the public's current perception of law enforcement and forensic personnel and if the portrayed view of such personnel has a mental effect on them, we designed a survey of questions utilizing a quantitative study, specifically focused on how the general public feels about the nature of our forensic personnel in this country . The survey was accompanied by a public forum consisting of three law enforcement officers who have each been involved in a deadly use-of-force situation. During the forum, these officers each gave a detailed account of the situation, as well as how the situation impacted their lives, both professionally and personally. In an extension of this research, we are studying the effects of social media-induced protests.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.07

SummerGraham

Southwestern Oklahoma State University

Feminist Criminology

A poster depicting the influences of criminology from feminist thought. Areas covered included are career statistics by gender, crime by gender, and female contributions in the field. Feminist thought as a movement and feminist school of criminology history is less than 100 years old. All research and knowledge that exists from before are based upon the males that commit crime. This poster goal is to educated and show the gender differences in the field of criminology.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.08

DianaClayton, SarahChase

Rogers State University

Does discretion within criminal court adjudication compromise equal justice for all?

The Oklahoma Constitution assures "[t]he courts of justice shall be open to every person . . . right and justice shall be administered without sale, denial, delay or prejudice." Okla. Const. Art.2, Sect. 6. All forms of law establish substantive and procedural mandates within the criminal justice system to ensure uniform decision-making and a just outcome. Yet, discretion is exercised at every stage of the criminal justice system: to arrest or not, to file or not file charges, what charge(s) to file and whether to make a plea offer; to grant bail, continuances, admit evidence, and applicability of law are but of a few of the decisions made by law enforcement, prosecutors and judges reviewed primarily by an abuse of discretion. Reconciling the quest for uniformity against the backdrop of discretionary authority appears to render an oxymoronic outcome. This inquiry examines twelve months of criminal court cases for uniformity, statutory compliance and the lawful exercise of discretion.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.09

DianaClayton

Rogers State University

Does discretion within criminal court adjudication compromise equal justice for all?

The Oklahoma Constitution assures "[t]he courts of justice shall be open to every person . . . right and justice shall be administered without sale, denial, delay or prejudice." Okla. Const. Art.2, Sect. 6. All forms of law establish substantive and procedural mandates within the criminal justice system to ensure uniform decision-making and a just outcome. Yet, discretion is exercised at every stage of the criminal justice system: to arrest or not, to file or not file charges, what charge(s) to file and whether to make a plea offer; to grant bail, continuances, admit evidence, and applicability of law are but of a few of the decisions made by law enforcement, prosecutors and judges reviewed primarily by an abuse of discretion. Reconciling the quest for uniformity against the backdrop of discretionary authority appears to render an oxymoronic outcome. This inquiry examines twelve months of criminal court cases for uniformity, statutory compliance and the lawful exercise of discretion.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.10

DianaClayton

Rogers State University

Does discretion within criminal court adjudication compromise equal justice for all?

The Oklahoma Constitution assures "[t]he courts of justice shall be open to every person . . . right and justice shall be administered without sale, denial, delay or prejudice." Okla. Const. Art.2, Sect. 6. All forms of law establish substantive and procedural mandates within the criminal justice system to ensure uniform decision-making and a just outcome. Yet, discretion is exercised at every stage of the criminal justice system: to arrest or not, to file or not file charges, what charge(s) to file and whether to make a plea offer; to grant bail, continuances, admit evidence, and applicability of law are but of a few of the decisions made by law enforcement, prosecutors and judges reviewed primarily by an abuse of discretion. Reconciling the quest for uniformity against the backdrop of discretionary authority appears to render an oxymoronic outcome. This inquiry examines twelve months of criminal court cases for uniformity, statutory compliance and the lawful exercise of discretion.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.11

DianaClayton

Rogers State University

Does discretion within criminal court adjudication compromise equal justice for all?

The Oklahoma Constitution assures "[t]he courts of justice shall be open to every person . . . right and justice shall be administered without sale, denial, delay or prejudice." Okla. Const. Art.2, Sect. 6. All forms of law establish substantive and procedural mandates within the criminal justice system to ensure uniform decision-making and a just outcome. Yet, discretion is exercised at every stage of the criminal justice system: to arrest or not, to file or not file charges, what charge(s) to file and whether to make a plea offer; to grant bail, continuances, admit evidence, and applicability of law are but of a few of the decisions made by law enforcement, prosecutors and judges reviewed primarily by an abuse of discretion. Reconciling the quest for uniformity against the backdrop of discretionary authority appears to render an oxymoronic outcome. This inquiry examines twelve months of criminal court cases for uniformity, statutory compliance and the lawful exercise of discretion.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.12

DianaClayton

Rogers State University

Does discretion within criminal court adjudication compromise equal justice for all?

The Oklahoma Constitution assures "[t]he courts of justice shall be open to every person . . . right and justice shall be administered without sale, denial, delay or prejudice." Okla. Const. Art.2, Sect. 6. All forms of law establish substantive and procedural mandates within the criminal justice system to ensure uniform decision-making and a just outcome. Yet, discretion is exercised at every stage of the criminal justice system: to arrest or not, to file or not file charges, what charge(s) to file and whether to make a plea offer; to grant bail, continuances, admit evidence, and applicability of law are but of a few of the decisions made by law enforcement, prosecutors and judges reviewed primarily by an abuse of discretion. Reconciling the quest for uniformity against the backdrop of discretionary authority appears to render an oxymoronic outcome. This inquiry examines twelve months of criminal court cases for uniformity, statutory compliance and the lawful exercise of discretion.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.13

DianaClayton

Rogers State University

Does discretion within criminal court adjudication compromise equal justice for all?

The Oklahoma Constitution assures "[t]he courts of justice shall be open to every person . . . right and justice shall be administered without sale, denial, delay or prejudice." Okla. Const. Art.2, Sect. 6. All forms of law establish substantive and procedural mandates within the criminal justice system to ensure uniform decision-making and a just outcome. Yet, discretion is exercised at every stage of the criminal justice system: to arrest or not, to file or not file charges, what charge(s) to file and whether to make a plea offer; to grant bail, continuances, admit evidence, and applicability of law are but of a few of the decisions made by law enforcement, prosecutors and judges reviewed primarily by an abuse of discretion. Reconciling the quest for uniformity against the backdrop of discretionary authority appears to render an oxymoronic outcome. This inquiry examines twelve months of criminal court cases for uniformity, statutory compliance and the lawful exercise of discretion.

Mathematics and Science.Criminal Justice.14

DianaClayton

Rogers State University

Does discretion within criminal court adjudication compromise equal justice for all?

The Oklahoma Constitution assures "[t]he courts of justice shall be open to every person . . . right and justice shall be administered without sale, denial, delay or prejudice." Okla. Const. Art.2, Sect. 6. All forms of law establish substantive and procedural mandates within the criminal justice system to ensure uniform decision-making and a just outcome. Yet, discretion is exercised at every stage of the criminal justice system: to arrest or not, to file or not file charges, what charge(s) to file and whether to make a plea offer; to grant bail, continuances, admit evidence, and applicability of law are but of a few of the decisions made by law enforcement, prosecutors and judges reviewed primarily by an abuse of discretion. Reconciling the quest for uniformity against the backdrop of discretionary authority appears to render an oxymoronic outcome. This inquiry examines twelve months of criminal court cases for uniformity, statutory compliance and the lawful exercise of discretion.