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Abstract
For entries 34–41 in this series, Hammond reviews Tolkien titles, Christopher reviews the Lewis material, and Hargis reviews Williams and the other Inklings.
Authors and readers are encouraged to send copies and bibliographic references on: J.R.R. Tolkien — Wayne G. Hammond, 30 Talcott Road, Williamstown, MA 01267; C.S. Lewis — Dr. J.R. Christopher, English Department, Tarleton State University, Stephenville, TX 76402; Charles Williams and the other Inklings — Pat Allen Hargis, Judson College, 1151 N. State St., Elgin, IL 60120.


It is unfortunate that Arbuckle or her editor three times refers to That Hidden Strength in stead of That Hideous Strength (in the title, in the first sentence, and in the second paragraph; the other four references is in the essay, two of them in the latter part of the first paragraph, get the title correct, as do those in the notes and the bibliography). The slip creates a suggestion of poor scholarship; and, since Arbuckle’s thesis seems unlikely, the suggestion of poor scholarship is certainly not true so far as citations of most major discussions of That Hideous Strength through the early 1980s are concerned.

Aruckle’s subtitle gives her basic thesis: she is arguing that Merlin stands for the Holy Grail in Lewis’s romance. “Merlin... represents both the Grail in all its myth of abundance and sacrament as well as personifying Lewis’ conception of the ultimate Order of the universe” (81). She argues this with parallels between Merlin and the Grail, the evidence for the latter usually cited for one or more of three scholarly sources on the Grail legend. For example, she takes Jane Studdoick as being parallel to Perceval (95), visiting St. Anne’s as the Grail Castle (82); she is affronted by the emphasis on marital hierarchy there on her first visit, as Perceval failed to ask the proper question (84); etc.

Merlin as the Grail chooses his/its own master (90), predicts the future (90, 91), distinguishes between good and evil (90, 91-91) — all three traits are said of the Grail in Jesse Weston’s The Quest of the Holy Grail; etc.

Overall, the central thesis of this essay seems strained; but there certainly are some interesting arguments along the way. For example, Arbuckle suggests that the severed head of Alcasan is parallel to the head of Bran in Celtic myth, which has had influences on the Grail legend (she might have cited, but did not, the Welsh version of “Peredur”), suggesting it here “represents an antithetical-Grail Lord” (89). In a similar reversal, “Fairy” Hardcastle “is the evil fee [+ fay or fairy] who deludes the wife or lover of the Grail Knight and then holds her captive; only that the captive lover has changed, becoming the husband in this version (88) — thus, the nickname “Fairy” works at two levels. The essay, therefore, has interest for scholars, even if they do not accept its full argument. [JRC]


A Tolkien Thesaurus is not a thesaurus in the usual sense of the term, but a concordance to roughly 15,000 “active words” in The Lord of the Rings. These words are listed alphabetically together with brief passages in which the words appear in Tolkien’s text, and volume and page reference to both the hardcover edition and the Ballantine paperback edition of The Lord of the Rings. The words were selected in general on the basis of “memorability.” Most articles, conjunctions, prepositions, common articles, and auxiliary verb forms, along with the most common words of size, number, color, and direction” are omitted (p. [v]). All proper nouns are included except for the names of “ubiquitous characters” such as Frodo and Gandalf, for which only the “most important” passages are cited. Related word forms, e.g. “awake + awaken, awakened, awakening, awoke,” “Anduin + Great River, River of Anduin, etc.” are grouped together and the variant forms cross-referenced. The compiler has attempted completeness and has come very near the mark, but there are some unintended omissions.

When Garland agreed to publish A Tolkien Thesaurus they asked for many changes in its introduction and deleted much explanatory matter. The author privately printed his original, extensive introduction as a booklet and made it available on request from the Marquette University Archives. The booklet describes Blackwelder’s intended audience, the criteria on which he based his word selection, his policies with regard to alphabetization and spelling, and other points of style and rationale. Also included are a selected list of “unusual” words (e.g. “ghylls, rede”), phrases (e.g. “a tight belt and a light tooth”), and passages (e.g. “Many great trees grew there, planted long ago, falling into untended age amid a riot of careless descendants”) in The Lord of the Rings. [WGH]

The article is illustrated with a drawing by Pauline Baynes for The Lion, the Witch and the Wardrobe and with a photograph of the artist. [WGH]
August, Turambar and Glorund: the elf-warrior plunges his sword into the dragon as the beast belches fire. The latter name is given as in The Book of Lost Tales (elsewhere Glorung).

September, Éowyn and Nazgûl: Éowyn raises her sword to strike the Nazgûl-Lord’s winged steed.

October, In Mordor: Frodo and Sam, in disguise, are caught amid marching Orcs.

November, Glófrin and the Balrog: a giant black, bat-winged creature with horns and a streaming mane slashes a sword at the Elf-warrior on a pinnacle of rock.

December, Minas Tirith: a detail of the city painted in the style of Maxfield Parrish.


The “exchange” of the title consists of two letters from each writer. (1) Jones complains of the Use of Lewis for the purpose of religious rigidity, says that Lewis was “a brilliant but severely limited human being” — particularly in areas dealing with women — and briefly praises Till We Have Faces. (2) Fuller agrees about Lewis’ followers, expanding the charge beyond that of rigidity; mentions several valuable depictions of women in Lewis’ fiction, with the final praise saved for Orual; describes the type of joy he finds in Lewis; and says the “Lewis the storyteller” is the best aspect of Lewis to read. (3) Jones, while accepting Fuller’s dispaise of Lewis’ disciples, still makes several points against Lewis (e.g., “he was unfair with regard to anything new”) and Williams, and briefly discusses Lewis’ theological context of Anglicanism. (4) Fuller defends Williams and Lewis as persons, both in terms of complexity, and sums up Lewis as a “fallible, mildly eccentric, warm, learned, imaginative Catholic Christian spokesman”;

Catholic in this context is loaded, since Jones was upset in both letters by the evangelicals’ celebration of Lewis.


An index to names of people, places, and things, and to words in Tolkien’s invented languages and in Old English, which appear in The Hobbit, The Lord of the Rings, The Silmarillion, Unfinished Tales, the History of Middle-earth series through The Shaping of Middle-earth, The Road Goes Ever on, The Letters of J.R.R. Tolkien, and The Monsters and the Critics and Other Essays. These eight to nine hundred names, etc. are “the most significant... in the Middle-earth volumes,” in Hyde’s estimation. Citations to works and pages (in hardcover editions) follow each word. For a reason not explained by the compiler, page references are not always given in straightforward numerical order, lowest to highest number, but in mixed sequence.


Guy Gavriel Kay comments chiefly on his Fionavar Tapestry but also on his assistance of Christopher Tolkien in editing The Silmarillion for publication. Kay and Mrs. Christopher Tolkien are from Winnipeg, their families knew each other, and he had met Christopher a number of times before J.R.R. Tolkien passed away. Kay was hired as a “younger associate rather than another fully-fledged academic of [Christopher’s] own standing,” to balance the editing team (p. 7). Kay feels he also provided an “external viewpoint.” He assisted Christopher from October 1974 until the summer of 1975. The editing “went remarkably amiably, very smoothly, I think as much as anything else because we were both so intensely involved” (p. 7).

Kay also remarks (p. 9) on the influence of The Lord of the Rings on subsequent fantasy novels. Majestic as Tolkien’s book is, “there is a great deal of room for maneuvering within the structures and parameters of high fantasy.” The “repository” of myths and folk tales can be performed in this way.


Miller challenges Ruth Noel in general as a scholar (Noel does not have “an educational background sufficient to cope with Tolkien’s immense erudition” [p. 139]) and in particular for finding, in her Mythology of Middle-earth, parallels between Gandalf and Malory’s Merlin. “These two wizards,” wrote Noel, “were both powerful, prophetic, inscrutable, and suddenly, unexpectedly human. Each had the responsibility for the fortunes of a nation and its future king; each had a dramatic sense of suspense and a childlike love of concocting surprises.”

Noel also notes that Gandalf and Merlin both have “obscure beginnings and mysterious endings,” and both were supposedly immortal (quoted by Miller, pp. 122-123). Miller argues that Gandalf is not particularly prophetic, his foretelling “are more in the nature of premonition or prediction, rather than sibylline or delphic utterance” (p. 126). It is Elrond, she points out, not Gandalf, who has responsibility for bringing up the future king Aragorn (but Noel makes clear that in the passage quoted she is referring specifically to Gandalf as Aragorn’s counselor in the “Last Debate”). The Lord of the Rings is not about “the fortunes of a nation” but about “the cosmic struggle between Good and Evil” (p. 127) in which Gandalf assists the peoples of Middle-earth against Sauron. (Here Miller misses the point that the “fortunes of Gondor, and by extension the “nation” of Men to come, are indeed at stake, and Gandalf is “responsible” for them according to the purpose of the Istari in
Miller then proceeds to compare Gandalf to Odin, a parallel which Noel also remarks, and here Noel "is certainly correct.... If the character of Gandalf is indeed indebted to medieval literature, it is to Odin and the Norse that the debt is owed— not to Merlin and the Celts—and even here the relationship cannot be pressed too hard" (pp. 128, 129). Gandalf and Odin both bear many names; they are both associated with totemic animals, horses and eagles in particular; they are both runemasters and are skilled in magic; and they both endure death and are resurrected with powers greater than they had before. Miller thinks it likely "that Christ's passion was uppermost in Tolkien's mind when he conceived of Gandalf's great struggle in the mines of Moria, but perhaps Odin's Story was also present" (p. 132). Gandalf also physically resembles Odin. Tolkien may have "deliberately distributed the attributes of Odin over several characters, with Saruman, Gandalf's treacherous double, and Sauron perhaps embodying Odin's darker side as 'the promoter of strife and the source of evil' ... as Gandalf might exemplify him as his most benevolent" (p. 130). Miller concludes this line of argument with the curious statement that "Tolkien did incorporate some traits of both Merlin and Odin (and most particularly Odin) in his characterization of Gandalf" (p. 132), after she earlier took pains to dissociate Gandalf and Merlin except as fellow "members of the class Wizard" (p. 123).

But "neither medieval wizard can be considered a principal source" of Gandalf or of his order (p. 132). Tolkien looked instead, as is made clear in his letters, to the Judeo-Christian concept of the angel. He may have looked in the Book of Tobit at the archangel Raphael, who assumes human form to assist Tobias. Tolkien also drew upon the archetypal pattern of the mage, from the magus-legend as it developed in ancient Persia (or earlier). Gandalf fits eight of the ten features of this pattern as set out in *The Myth of the Magus* by E. M. Butler: a supernatural or mysterious origin, temptations ("impatience, leading to the desire to force others to their own good ends," Tolkien quoted by Miller, p. 135), wanderings, a magical contest, (Gandalf versus Saruman in *The Two Towers*, or in a larger sense, Gandalf versus Sauron), a trial or persecution (Gandalf imprisoned by Saruman), an violent death, a resurrection, and a solemn farewell.

Niven, Larry, and Jerry Pournelle. *Footfall.* New York: Ballantine Books (A Del Rey Book), 1985 [Lewis, 88, 335.] A science-fiction novel, detailing an invasion of the earth by elephant-like aliens. When the alien ship has been sighted, one of the characters listens briefly to a television interview program with a number of Christian leaders discussing the theological implications of the ship: the Episcopal bishop of California says, "And of course C.S. Lewis played with aliens" (intellectually played with the idea of aliens" might be clearer), and again,

Lewis points out that the existence of intelligent aliens impacts Christianity only if we assume they are in need of redemption, that redemption must come in the same manner as it was delivered to humanity, and that it has been denied them. (88)

The first of these statements seems to be based on the first two volumes of the Ransom Trilogy, and the second or either "Will We Lose God in Outer Space?" or "The Seeing Eye."

The later passage comes in a discussion of morality. One character says, "But nobody really knows what right and wrong are," and another character replies, "Sure they do. C.S. Lewis saw that well enough. Most of us know what's the right thing, at least most of the time. The problem is we don't do it" (335). The allusion seems to be to the opening of Mere Christianity. (The bibliographer thanks The Very Reverend Donne E. Puckle of Chippewa Falls, Wisconsin, for a note about the second paragraph.)

Noad, Charles. "A Tower in Beleriand: A Talk by Guy Gavriel Kay Given at ... the 1987 World Science Fiction Convention." *Amon Hen* (bulletin of the Tolkien Society) 91 May (1988): 16-18 [Tolkien] At "Conspiracy '87" Kay spoke (at much greater length than in his *Vector* interview) of his assistance of Christopher Tolkien in editing *The Silmarillion* for publication. Christopher had already arranged his father's papers in a preliminary order. Kay joined him in Oxford on 21 October 1974. The first two chapters of the book had been drafted by Christopher in an "academic style" later used for the *History of Middle-earth*., each with the latest version of the text concerned, variant readings from earlier versions, and editorial notes. Kay felt that since J.R.R. Tolkien considered himself primarily a storyteller, the text would be better presented as a continuous narrative. The section "of the Coming of the Elves" was so successfully edited in this form that the straightforward narrative approach was adopted for the entire book.

As the editing progressed, "Kay would proceed one chapter ahead of Christopher Tolkien, proposing solutions to various textual problems and the like. The later would then go over the result critically and change it as he thought fit, and then type the initial draft of the chapter" (p. 17). Later the two men would engage in "intense dialogue" to reach the final form of the chapter. In this way the first draft of *The Silmarillion* was completed by 1 February 1975. Kay went home to Canada in June 1975. During those months of labor, Kay came to look upon the barn in which he and Christopher Tolkien worked "as an island, a sanctuary of light amidst the darkness." The two "felt like medieval monks. It was a labour of love for both of them, a time of rigorous mental discipline" (p. 17).

Kay also spoke of his attempt to meet J.R.R. Tolkien in the summer of 1973. He called on Tolkien at Merton College, Oxford, sent in by the porter a letter of introduction and a personal note, and received in return a message from Tolkien that he was not well and was not seeing visitors. Kay regrets not having kept Tolkien's note; but Tolkien kept the letters Kay sent in to him, and later they

[WGH]
were found by their author in Tolkien's correspondence files. [WGH]


Pittinger, who once negatively critiqued Lewis in *The Christian Century* and who was answered by Zhim, here reconsiders the debate, and still holds his negative positions. He praises some of Lewis' books — *A Grief Observed* (14), *The Four Loves*, *The Abolition of Man* (18), *The Chronicles of Narnia*, and *The Screwtape Letters* (19) — but finds *Miracles* (15), *The Problem of Pain* (15-16), and *Mere Christianity* (16) lacking. The first of these apologetic works is "not only unconvincing but seriously offensive," mainly because it takes the miracles literally (15). *The Problem of Pain* has flaws in its discussion of animal suffering and its exercise understanding of God (15). *Mere Christianity* is weak in its discussion of the Trinity and flawed in its understanding of the incarnation (16). Pittinger indicates that his "conceptuality [of Christianity] is Whiteheadian 'process thought' as developed by Charles Hartshore" (16) and that he prefers the phrase "history became myth" to Lewis' "myth became history" (17). (Lewis' actual phrase, in one of his essays titles, was "Myth Became Fact"; however, Pittinger is not distorting Lewis' essential idea here.) Pittinger concludes, "Yet all the time his purpose was admirable" (19). [JRC]


Reminiscences of the Inklings by their former students are always interesting, and this memoir of the poet Philip Larkin by a fellow student of St. John's College, Oxford, during 1941-42, has a few caustic tidbits. (a) "It was a fairly safe assumption among the few of us reading English that if you skipped a particularly stressful performance by J.R. [sic] Tolkien [sic] at the Taylorian and went off instead to Taphouse in Cornmarket, or Acotts or Drawa Hall in the High, you might well run into Philip or perhaps Kingsley Amis...", looking for jazz records (83). (b) "Like me, Philip seemed never to tire of Kingsley's 'story without words', a marvellous display of improvisation and mimicry that featured the furtive placing of a powerful bomb — its detonation could be wonderfully prolonged — among a crowd of hearties drinking at a table. And for an encore we would be pole-axed by the Chicago gangster film starring a shrill, arm-waving Lord David Cecil as the gang leader threatening a squealer, a role usually assigned to C. S. Lewis" (85). [David Bratman, via JRC]


Shippey begins his paper with a twentieth-century example of "reconstruction," Tolkien's Riders of Rohan in *The Lord of the Rings* and the element *emnet* in the Rohan place-names *Eastemnet* and *Westemnet*. The Riders of Rohan are based on the Anglo-Saxons, except in their fascination with horses which the Anglo-Saxons lacked. *Emnet* is Old English for "level ground" or "plain" and survives only in the Norfolk place-name *Emmets*. Modern English has no native word to express the concept of "steppe" or "prairie," "because the thing itself is unknown in a small and densely-wooded island." But "if ... the Anglo-Saxons had been familiar with the [Rohan-like] plains of North-West Europe (instead of emigrating to England), they would have called them 'emnett's'; and if they had to use 'emnet' as an everyday word for a familiar landscape, it is only reasonable to assume that their attitude to horses would have been much more receptive!" (p. 53). In Appendix A to *The Lord of the Rings*, Tolkien includes several names from the Riders' ancestry which are derived not from Old English but from Gothic. "It is as if Tolkien had remembered, in his broodings on words, that 'horse-folk' par excellence, the equitatus Gothorum, 'the cavalry of the Goths,' a people closely akin to the ancient English. The Riders, Shippey concludes, are what the English might have been like if they had turned east instead of west, "with their English names and Gothic history, their English word for Gothic landscape, their highly un-English adaptation to grasslands and horses" (p. 54).

Shippey examines the Riders, and Tolkien's use of philology, in more detail, but *emnet* is less, in his *Road to Middle-earth* (1982). In the present essay he refers to scholarship by Christopher Tolkien, especially his *Saga of King Heidrek the Wise* (1960). [WGH]

Reprint of the 1977 edition published by Harry N. Abrams with illustrations from the Rankin-Bass animated film of The Hobbit. The present edition is reduced in size by ten to twenty percent and is printed on a lighter, glossier paper. The fold-out plates of the original edition are here printed as double double-page spreads. The Galahad dust-jacket combines on its upper cover the images printed on the Abrams binding with the picture of Smaug printed on the Abrams acetate jacket. On the lower Galahad jacket is printed the illustration of Smaug from p. [155]; the “invisible” figure of Bilbo is here truly unseen, behind a Universal Price Code panel. the jacket blurb is unchanged from the Abrams jacket: it still proclaims the book to be “the first fully illustrated, deluxe edition” of The Hobbit and that (a very doubtful assertion) “Tolkien himself would certainly have been delighted” with the illustrations. [WGH]

Tolkien, J.R.R. The Hobbit, or There and Back Again. “Revised Edition.” N.Y.: Ballantine books, 1988. xii + 305 pp. New paperback “50th anniversary” edition, reset, though the impression numbering continues (“Twenty-third Printing: September 1988”). The text appears to be unchanged from previous Ballantine impressions. An error on p. 16, line 9, We are not [for met] together” has been carried into the new typesetting. Thror’s Map and Wilderland are reproduced as usual.

The cover illustration by Michael Herring depicts Bilbo and Gollum in Gollum’s cave. Bilbo is plump and middle-aged, wears a full-sleeved shirt, tunic, trousers, and cloak, and holds a short sword. Gollum is fangtoothed, pointy-eared, and glassy eyed. The illustration is framed in an archway, a design feature common to the late 1988 Ballantine Tolkien covers.

Two bindings have been seen: (1) with “J.R.R. TOLKIEN” on the upper cover stamped in gilt, and (2) with “J.R.R. TOLKIEN” in white on the upper cover, and, at lower left of the upper cover, parallel with the price line, a blue rule and “SPECIAL BOOK CLUB EDITION” in white. The first version has been sold separately and in a boxed set with the Early Sun in His Eyes, Beorn’s Hall*, The Elvenkings’s Gate*, Bilbo Woke from the Sleep he Lost in Fangorn Forest (i.e. Fangorn Forest), and heraldic devices of Lúthien Tinuviel and Beren. With a biographical note and notes on the illustrations, in German. [WGH]

Notes
3. It was Collingwood who drew Tolkien into the Lydney ‘dig’ as a helper in interpreting the Nodon’s inscription.
5. In all of these abbreviations, Arch = ‘Archaeological.’ As survey maps show, a Roman road ran along the spine of the Mendip Hills, some of it being the base of the modern B3134 road. It has been thought to have some link with the mines of the Roman period. See Gough, p. 46.
6. This is about 4-5 miles from the possible ‘entrance’ in the west under Sandford Hill and could well be said to be near the line of the imagined thoroughfare through the mines, if one were passing from the ‘top’ of the range to the ‘bottom’ (or southern end).
7. One may well imagine that in the creation of the Balrog there comes in some measure of Bram Stoker’s The Lair of the White Worm (1911), pp. 40-42. See Appendix.
9. It is, perhaps, the argentiferous aspect of the ore that gave Tolkien the idea of the prized metal, mithril, also called ‘Moria-silver, or true silver.’
11. In The Fellowship of the Ring, Gandalf observes that: ‘It cannot be less than forty miles.’ (p. 323)
13. H.M. Searth wrote on the coins found at East Harptree in 1888, while H. St. George Gray published his report on those on Sanford Hill in 1924.
14. See The Return of the King (1955), p. 415; and The Fellowship of the Ring (1954), p. 331, where we are told by Gandalf: ‘The Dwarves have a name which they do not tell.’ (p. 331.)

Please ask your University, College, and Public Libraries to subscribe