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Self-Conscious Narration as the Complex Representation of Hope in Le Guin's *Always Coming Home*

**Abstract**

Calls *Always Coming Home* an “open-ended utopia” that presents the possibility of utopia without being specific about the means to get there. The self-reflexive narrator, Pandora, is the “structuring paradox” of a novel that leads the reader to long for a utopia while remaining ambiguous about its possibility.
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Self-Conscious Narration
as the Complex Representation of Hope in Le Guin's Always Coming Home

by Carol Franko

In Ursula K. Le Guin's most recent novel, utopian hope, or longing, is given a more profound status by the presence of doubt. Always Coming Home is a utopian fiction self-consciously built on paradoxes. It's about the Kesh people of the Na Valley who "might be going to have lived a long, long time from now in Northern California" (xi). Its 500 odd pages contain a multitude of Valley texts - poems, plays, romantic tales, histories, a chapter from a novel called "Dangerous People," as well as "nonliterary" information about their Native American-like culture and what I'll be calling "Pandora sections" where the narrator reflects on her writing of utopia and her relation to the Kesh. The closest thing to a conventional novel in Always Coming Home is Stone Telling's narrative, in which she relates how she left the Kesh to live for awhile with her father's people, the patriarchal warlike society of the Condor, before escaping and returning home. Stone Telling's circular journey is a preoccupation of Guin's fiction; it chronicles how the falsely spiritual Condor, who, in the Kesh metaphor, live "outside" the world, apparently are destroyed by the poverty of their own values. It might seem that Le Guin has here introduced a threat to the utopian society only to dispose of it in a puzzling easy way. But the questions stimulated by Stone Telling's narrative are only a part of the work's larger paradoxical pattern.

The structuring paradox in Always Coming Home is Le Guin's self-conscious, multifaceted narrator, "Pandora." Her name - an epithet of the earth - means "giver of all," and we all remember the story of Pandora's box. Pandora is the anthropologist who, in one of her guises, neutrally presents and comments on the Valley culture. We also see her dramatized as the visitor to utopia, who comes to celebrate an "alien" set of values. Moreover, Le Guin has Pandora reflect repeatedly on her role as constructor of a utopian fiction. Thus the reader becomes involved in the text's self-reflexivity and is caught between the verisimilar wealth of Valley data - which makes the Kesh seem real - and the constant reminder that they are imaginary, the dreamlike creation of Pandora-Le Guin. This basic tension challenges the reader in its various dramatizations, which include Pandora's relation to her text, and to her readers, as well as the Valley people's own sense of their problematic relation to History and Civilisation (Le Guin's spelling).

Le Guin's use of a self-conscious narrator for utopia is an experiment that goes back at least as far as H.G. Wells's A Modern Utopia (1905). But self-reflexivity especially characterizes what several critics see as a rebirth of the utopian genre - you could say utopia has never been the same since Brave New World, 1984, or Zamyatin's We. Tom Moylan argues that writers like Joanna Russ, Le Guin, Marge Piercy and Samuel Delany use self-reflexivity as one tool for simultaneously destroying and transforming the genre. Critics often refer to this transformed utopia as "open-ended." As Bulent Somay puts it, open-ended utopias not only disarm the "dystopian critique of utopias" (25), they more importantly portray "a utopian locus as a mere phase in the infinite unfolding of the utopian horizon" (260), thus preventing collective utopian longing from being enclosed in a text that presents utopia as finished, static and unchanging (25). Because of this difference, open-ended utopias invite active readers: by definition they demand "a critical negating attitude from the audience" (Somay 34).

Frederic Jameson goes so far as to say that contemporary utopias are "about their own impossibility" (156). Jameson claims that the "deepest vocation" of such works as Le Guin's The Lathe of Heaven is "to confront us with our incapacity to imagine Utopia" (156).

In Always Coming Home utopian hope achieves seriousness and poignancy through Pandora's and the reader's doubt. But by her use of a self-conscious narrator Le Guin expresses both the impossibility and the possibility of imagining utopia. Thus this work has a problematic status among recent "critical" or "open" utopian fictions. Because even though Pandora often throws the question of "making" utopia back to the reader - and even though both Pandora and the Kesh people emphatically reveal the gap between civilization and utopia - nonetheless the overall movement is toward effecting the reader's affirmation. Peter Ruppert suggests that an open utopia poses a threat to the constructing of utopia, or at least leaves the project unfinished, so that the reader's cooperation and participation is needed to achieve completion (130). But Somay excludes affirmation or completion from open-ended works, even if that closure is effected only in the reader's mind. If Always Coming Home nevertheless does encourage the ongoing, self-critical movement of utopian longing, and I think it does, it does so by balancing affirmation with doubt. The self-conscious edge is not lost - utopia is never "complete," and in some sense any conceiving of a potential link between our world and a radically transformed one is deemed "impossible." Further exploration of Pandora's and the reader's "progress" through Always Coming Home will clarify these assertions.

Both Pandora and the reader can be viewed as undergoing circular journeys, which begin with an initial accep-
tance of the Valley as "home," travel through a period of
doubt, and arrive at several affirmations — no "final" one —
which bring them back "home" to the possibility of imagi-
ning the Valley, or utopia. The crisis is dramatized par-
ially in the "Pandora sections," where the narrator depicts her-
self "worrying" about her liabilities as a utopian writer. But
the doubtful continuity of utopia and history is also por-
rayed in various texts of the Kesh people. The return
"home" to utopian hope is likewise dramatized mostly in
some of the later Pandora sections. Pandora is depicted as
"finding ways" into the Valley and finding confidence to
assert her allegiance to utopian values. The reader, whose
"crisis" has been partly a vicarious sharing of Pandora's
and partly an undergoing of the Valley's satirical image of
our society, experiences a likewise "double" resolution:
first through Pandora's admission of her need of the reader
to imagine utopia, and through the Valley people, making
a claim on us directly in a poem written to us.

It is important to note that I am imposing this pattern
of Pandora's and the reader's circular journeys onto a work
which as I've mentioned is in no way a conventional novel.
Aside from Stone Telling's narrative, the collective form of
Always Coming Home seeks to disallow the kind of unities
I'm suggesting. Yet I think there is evidence for seeing
more than one circular journey and I'd argue that the fact
that they are not all as obvious as Stone Telling's calls for
active reading, an important component of "open" utopias.

The introductory section "Towards an Archaeology of
the Future" contains the narrator's typical strategies for
deflecting her concerns over writing utopia and for draw-
ning the reader into the problem. This section introduces
Pandora's suspicion of utopography. For example, she alludes to the inadequacy of traditional utopian thinking
when she refers to "several blockheaded opinions — that it
must be walled, with one gate, for instance" (3) that have
kept her from discovering sooner the double spiral shape
of Kesh towns. She finally discovers that there was never
a wall — unlike many utopias the Valley does not have that
kind of boundary — and that what she had taken for a gate
was a bridge, or "hinge," over creeks.

Besides criticizing traditional utopias, Pandora at-
ttempts to transcend the paradoxes involved in writing
about no-place by adopting a narrative mode where such
distinctions as fact vs. fiction are blurred. Thus she mixes
scientific metaphors with ones from Celtic Faery when she
describes the "unborn" as "those who slip weightless
among the molecules, dwelling where a century passes in
a day, among the fair folk, under the great, bell-curved Hill
of Possibility" (4). Later we will learn that in Valley litera-
ture all binary distinctions except the one between truth
and lie are "messy" (500). Finally, this frame ends by in-
volving the reader in the "only archaeology that might be practical":

You take your child or grandchild in your arms, a young
baby, not a year old yet, and go down into the wild oats
in the field below the barn. Stand under the oak on the
last slope of the hill, facing the creek. Stand quietly. Per-
haps the baby will see something, or hear a voice, or speak
to somebody there, somebody from home (5).

Besides introducing the Valley as "home," this passage
applies the recurring metaphor of children directly to the
reader.

Pandora undergoes two crises of conscience — one in-
volving her role as artist and the other her role as repre-
sentative of Civilisation. In her aesthetic crisis Pandora
rejects the image of herself as perverse astronomer, look-
ing "into the big end of the telescope and seeing[ing], jewel-
bright, distinct, tiny, and entire, the Valley" (53). Pandora
counteracts this false seeing by rushing out of the obser-
vatory "with her eyes shut, grabbing, grabbing with her
hands" (53). Her insistence on touch gains her "lifesize,
pieces of the Valley. Thus she only finds the true "pattern"
of the Valley by rejecting the false completeness of the
dolls' house and by accepting the broken bowl her hands
find. The other critical aspect to Valley aesthetics for Pan-
dora is the recognition that the human must be rooted in
the nonhuman. Pandora realizes that "the roots of the
Valley are the roots of the digger pine... The roots of the
Valley are in wildness, in dreaming, in dying, in eternity"
(52). Later, she affirms the "Infinite good" that can result
from our imaginative sympathy with the wild things that
have "nothing to do with us" (241). These "wilderness
sections" revise the traditional notion of utopia as a state
where every bit of nature is beautifully domesticated or
"humanized."

In summary then, "Let the heart complete the pattern"
(53) is Pandora's Lesson" in her aesthetic crisis of con-
science; the one involving her status as representative of
civilization in "Pandora Worrying About What She Is
Doing: She Addresses The Reader With Agitation" (147-8)
is not so directly resolved. But it is this crisis that accounts
for her mythical persona, and it is this one that creates the
most poignancy since Pandora presents herself as a writer
of utopia who cannot imagine a historical connection be-
tween her society and her fiction. The only way she can
imagine getting from one to another is through an
apocalyptic ending of our world. First she explains guiltily
that she has burned all the books and killed off all the
starving babies — those "puny little bastards" in order to
create the Valley; "You may have noticed that the real
difference between us and the Valley is quite a small thing
really. There are not too many of them" (147). Then she
meditates on her mythical persona, explaining that as
Pandora she cannot give the Valley people history, only
time. This ability to give time is "a native gift" of the
Pandora who, in Le Guin's revision of the myth, knew
exactly what would come out of the infamous box:

I knew what would come out of it! I know about the
Greeks bearing gifts! I know about war and plague and
famine and holocaust, indeed I do. Am I not a daughter
of the people who enslaved and extirpated the peoples of
three continents? Am I not a sister of Adolf Hitler and
Anne Frank? Am I not a citizen of the State that fought
the first nuclear war? (147)

She remarks that she hopes Prometheus was right about
Hope being at the bottom of the box, but that she won't
mind if the box is only empty. She then switches into
the mindset of the Kesh who view all things in nature as
"people" sharing "houses." She hopes for: "room enough!
A big room, that holds animals, birds, fish, bugs, trees... .
A living room" (148).

"Pandora Worrying" ends enigmatically, with an anec-
dote of childbirth in the backseat of a car, overlaid with
Pandora still offering her gift of time. But the modulation
into Valley metaphors, and the emphasis of time or room
over history do not erase the apocalyptic uneasiness of the
section. Le Guin's narrator seems dissatisfied with the
traditional utopian thinking which can imagine a better
world but cannot depict the process of getting there.
Pandora's myth exemplifies this dilemma: one ill, one
horror, follows another out of the "box" until it is apocalypti-
cally "empty"--only then can a new world perhaps begin.

Pandora's crisis implicates the reader. She jeers: "Do
you take me for innocent, my fellow maggot, colluding
Reader?" (148). About the destruction of books and babies
she says "If they burn, it will be all of us that burned them"
(147). Thus, the reader vicariously undergoes with Pan-
dora this crisis of conscience. In the long section immedi-
ately following "Pandora Worrying," the reader's "ordeal"
shifts to receiving the Valley people's satirical tales of the
gap between their world and ours.

In this section, "Time and the City," Pandora appears in
her role as ethnologist though not a neutral one. Le Guin
here gives us an ironic inversion of Pandora's anxious
inability to give the Valley people a history. The Kesh
name "history": "when they lived outside the world" (152).
The Kesh prefer spatial to temporal images; thus the in-
side/outside metaphor. The odd inversion occurs when
Pandora remarks that although she's "not sure" she thinks
that the Kesh perceive "the gap or lack of connection"
between themselves and civilization "as the most impor-
tant thing--to them... about history in our terms" (153).
There are a couple of paradoxes here. It is an ironic reversal
for the utopian community to be puzzled by their own
origin, by their lack of connection to a former, or other,
non-utopian society. This concern with how to get from
to here to there is more typically a "pre-utopian" one. And
here is the second paradox: if Pandora cannot give them
history (147) of course the Valley people will be likewise
unclear on how to get "from inside... to outside... and
back" (153). Thus, we have Pandora, self-conscious
utopian writer, gravely reporting that the Kesh are self-
conscious of "this discontinuity" (153), between them and
us. The self-reflexivity is heightened by Pandora's explain-
ing in her anthropological way that the gap is also "the
hinge" (153), which formerly has been described as both

the center of the Valley town, and as an aspect of "heya"
whose meaning include connection, hinge, center, change
(515). Thus, what was formerly an aspect of Valley culture
--the hinge--is now a self-conscious image for the "con-
nective discontinuity" between us and utopia.

A final inversion in this section is one of perspective.
Instead of pondering Pandora's creation of the elaborate
Valley culture, the reader views our society through the
satiric narratives of the Kesh. For example, the tale "Big
Man and Little Man" is a mythical account of the in-
side/outside metaphor. It is also a critical translation of
Genesis. Big Man, who crowds all the room outside the
world can only see inside the world backwards; he sends
his backward-headed creation, Little Man, inside. Little
Man populates the world with "a thing like a woman out
of dirt" that Big Man fools him with. Little Man then kills
or poisons everything in the world because: "He didn't
belong there inside the world, he had no mother, only a
father" (158). He finally dies of fear and the world comes
alive with the animals and other people Little Man some-
how overlooked. In "A Note On the Backward-Head
People," Pandora discursively presents the ethics imaged
in this tale. She notes that the backward-head people "seem
to have been the literalisation of a metaphor" (159). The
Kesh are surrounded with evidence of our values; vast
regions poisoned with radiation, as well as the various
 genetic impairments they suffer. Since the Valley people
believe that human beings do not do things accidently,
they see the perpetrators of these damages as having "done
wrong mindfully"--thus "They had had their heads on
wrong" (159).

The one historical connection readily grasped between
civilization and the Valley is this one of our destruction of
the earth. The self-reflexivity of Always Coming Home thus
does not prevent the Valley culture from being a point of
view from which to satirize our world. In fact, such a
satirical function highlights the discontinuity between utopia
and "reality." Thus in "Time and the City," the hinge
does not effect a leap between inside and outside--it
remains within the Valley. For example, the mini-drama
of origins called "Coyote was Responsible" reafirms the
Valley's celebration of story-telling and of metaphor.
The "Five People" who seek the answer to "Where did we come
from?" reject both the "Wise Old Man," who declares "From
the mind of the Eternal" and the "Old Talking Woman"
who replies "From the beginnings of the Earth! In the
spine, in the egg... ." (162). The Five People don't want
the philosophical or scientific explanation; instead they
accept Coyote's poetic-adventurous tale: "From the west
you came, from the west, over the ocean, dancing you
came walking you came" (162). The response of the Five
People--"What luck, to have got here to the Valley!"--
seems to say a joyous "so what" to the problem of imagining
a historical beginning to utopia. But "Time and the
City" ends with sadness over the fact that such affirma-
tions are only possible within the Valley. In Pandora's
concluding conversation with a Valley Archivist, they politely disagree over the importance of history. The latter finally asks Pandora to define history. Pandora says: "A great historian of my people said: the study of Man in Time." But she adds: "You aren't Man and you don’t live in Time... You live in the Dream Time." "Always" says the Archivist. "Right through Civilisation, we have lived in the Dream Time" (172). The "Bitter grief" in the Archivist’s voice is not intelligible in terms of her character. Rather it is another dramatization of the oscillation between affirmation and doubt, which comprises utopian hope in Always Coming Home.

Although Le Guin’s latest exploration of “no-place” is open-ended in its self-consciousness over utopia’s seemingly impossible relation to history, it nonetheless means us to come away from the novel admiring and even longing for the Valley. This intention is illustrated by several points of affirmation in the “return” phase of Pandora’s and the reader’s circular journeys. Through Pandora’s frequent addresses to her audience, she and we, her readers, have been united, both by our guilt as participants in an oppressive society – basically the Caucasian First World – and by our corresponding inability to imagine a historical beginning to “utopia” without an apocalypse. This guilt has no “solution” in the novel except perhaps the necessity of acknowledging it and then going on, or returning, to the work of imagining utopia. Thus, one of the points of affirmation occurs in the section “Pandora Gently to the Gentle Reader” (339), in which she imagines leading an overeager reader into the Valley. Pandora’s and the reader’s interdependence is emphasized: she begins “When I take you to the Valley,” and ends “we have a long way yet to go, and I can’t go without you” (339). The motif of the hope in Pandora’s box also recurs here when she says: “We’ll go on, I hope and we’ll see the roofs of the little towns...” (339). The “I hope” reminds us of the tenuousness of utopian hope even as it indicates Pandora’s dependence on the reader’s willingness to travel with her.

Pandora and the reader also arrive separately at moments of affirmation. Pandora writes three poems that show that the paradoxes that have caused her anxiety are now resolved, but inside or from the Valley. She openly allies herself with the Kesh mentality that prefers Coyote’s origin myth and says “What luck, to have got here to the Valley!” (162) when she says in one of the poems “I don’t care if I am possible” (487). This poem ("Newton Did Not Sleep Here") explains that the bridges between our world and the Valley are “Wind, the rainbow, / mist, still air” and that we can and must learn to walk on them.

While Pandora’s resolution comes when she asserts her identity as Valley poet, we the readers are brought into closest contact with the Kesh by a poem written by them to us: “From the People of the Houses of Earth in the Valley to the Other People Who Were On Earth Before Them” (404-05). This poem brings the recurring metaphor of children full circle: here the Kesh explain that they have always been among us as the "other" – the "sold woman," the "enslaved enemy," the "hungry" and "the powerless" and finally "the children" that we did not know:

We were the words you had no language for.
O our fathers and mothers!
We were always your children.
From the beginning, from the beginning, we are your children. (405)

The effect of this affirmation is critical or open-ended because it asserts that the responsibility for having helped to imagining utopia is defined by our responsibility for having helped imagine this real world into being. In this double responsibility lies the possibility of utopia. Perhaps more than time this is Pandora’s gift to us.
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Tegner’s Saga, continued from page 56

Endnotes
1. Through his mother Esaias Tegner was related to some other important authors: Erik Gustaf Geijer, who lived at the same time as Tegner and knew him personally. He was an historian (I think Karl Marx had read his works), poet and author of some hymns. He was also a famous apostate from political conservatism to liberalism. His change of view is by some called his apostasy. Gustaf Fröding, a poet who lived at the end of the 19th and beginning of the 20th centuries. He is still a popular author whose poems have in many cases been made into songs. Selma Lagerlöf the Nobel Prize winner, and author of some great novels. They were all born in the province of Värmland. Selma Lagerlöf and Gustaf Fröding were two of the leading authors in the literature of the 1890s, when fantasy and saga returned to Swedish literature after a period of naturalism and realism. Then authors retold tales from their home provinces reviving the interest in local folklore. Värmland’s inhabitants became known as a joyful and a little bit crazy people.
2. The most important phosphorist was Per Daniel Amadeus Atterbom, a poet who is remembered as the author of fairy-tale play called Lyckasalghetens Ö (The Island of Bliss). The great part of his and the other Swedish romanticists’ productions are not read today except by students of literature. There are some authors in Swedish literature who mixed romanticism and other influences who have survived.
3. Today, “hej” is the normal, familiar word of greeting.
4. This is the same Swedish Academy that administers the Nobel Prize of Literature. It was founded in 1786 by King Gustaf III, as part of his efforts to sponsor literature and art. It had the French Academy as a model. It edits a large dictionary of the Swedish language and promotes literature.
5. For those unfamiliar with the ecclesiastical situation in Sweden, after the Lutheran Reformation the Church of Sweden became the only and established state church. This continued until the late 19th century when different denominations, such as Baptists, came and were allowed to exist as Sweden became an increasingly modern, democratic society. The Church of Sweden is still the established church, a situation similar to that in England.