

QC Reviewer Instructions

Thank you for reviewing this paper. The next page has information for you to fill in. When you're done, please email this document to bucklerk@uhd.edu. Don't forget to download this paper to save it.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to email the editor at bucklerk@uhd.edu.

For how to vote, we have the following in mind:

- *Do not publish* a paper if (1) it's not competently executed (methodological flaws or data collection concerns) **or** (2) doesn't present one or more good ideas.
- *Publish* if (1) the paper is competently executed and presents one or more good ideas, **and** (2) doesn't require minor changes.
- *Publish pending minor changes* if (1) the paper is competently executed and presents one or more good ideas, **but** (2) there are minor changes that should definitely be done—i.e., more than a subjective preference—and definitely can be “pulled off” by the author (i.e., they are not particularly difficult or elaborate).
- *Publish pending major changes* if (1) the paper is competently executed and presents one or more good ideas, **but** (2) there are major changes that should definitely be done—i.e., more than a subjective preference—and definitely can be “pulled off” by the author but will require a significant commitment of time, energy, and/or thought.
- Here is more information on what we have in mind by “minor changes”:
 - Many minor changes do not equal “reject.” i.e., to accept pending minor changes, it makes no difference if there's few or many minor changes that will take little or much time.
 - Minor changes may concern any of the following:
 - the writing;
 - the topic and relevant literature;
 - how and why the study addresses limitations in that literature;
 - the method, including ethical considerations and practices;
 - specific and general interpretations of the data and whether they are shown to readers;
 - reasonable paths forward in qualitative and quantitative research, policy and practice
 - by “minor,” we are not including any significant reframing of the theoretical underpinnings or presentation and interpretation of data. Those are major changes.
- Here is more information on what we have in mind by “major changes”:
 - significant reframing of the theoretical underpinnings or presentation and interpretation of data;methodological flaws (such as sampling) or data collection issues are not “major changes,” when these are present, vote to “Do not publish.”